Aton

Approved for use through 07/31/2006. OMB 0651-0031

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

First Named Inventor Paul D. Arling Art Unit 2814 Examiner Name Yenke, Brian P. Examiner Name Paul D. Arling	OIF App		Application Number	09/7	09/718,931					
Examiner Name Yenke, Brian P.	TRÂNSM	MITΤΔI	Filing Date	11/2	11/21/2000					
Examiner Name Yenke, Brian P.	A ST TOPE STORE	2M	First Named Inventor	Paul	Paul D. Arling					
Status Letter Status Lette	/ W/0 2 'S'	\	Art Unit	2614	2614					
Status Letter Status Lette	be used for a correspon	ndence after initial filing)	Examiner Name	Yenk	Yenke, Brian P.					
ENCLOSURES (Check all that apply) Fee Transmittal Form	C G THAN	1 8	/	8123	81230.578001					
Fee Transmittal Form	The region of ages in the seasoned in the season of the se									
Fee National Appeals and Interferences Appeal Communication to Board of Appeals and Interferences	ENCLOSURES (Check all that apply)									
Fee Attached	Fee Transmittal Form		rawing(s)		After All	owance Communication to TC				
Amendment/Reply	Fee Attached		censing-related Papers							
Affidavits/declaration(s) Affidavits/declaration(s) Extension of Time Request Express Abandonment Request Information Disclosure Statement Certified Copy of Priority Document(s) Response to Missing Parts under 37 CFR 1.52 or 1.53 SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, OR AGENT Firm Name Customer No. 34018 Greenberg Tradirig, LLP Signature CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION/MAILING CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION/MAILING CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION/MAILING CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION/MAILING CERTIFICATE OF Response to the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on the date shown below. Signature Affidavits/declaration(s) Status Letter Other Enclosure(s) (please Identify below): Request for Refund CP, Number of CD(s) Landscape Table on CD Remarks SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, OR AGENT Firm Name Customer No. 34018 Greenberg Tradirig, LLP Signature CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION/MAILING	Amendment/Reply	☐ P	Petition		Appeal Communication to TC (Appeal Notice, Brief, Reply Brief)					
Extension of Time Request Express Abandonment Request Information Disclosure Statement Certified Copy of Priority Document(s) Response to Missing Parts Incomplete Application Response to Missing Parts Incomplete Application Response to Missing Parts Incomplete Application Response Table on CD SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, OR AGENT Firm Name Customer No. 34018 Greenberg Trayirig, LLP Signature Printed name Gary R. Jaropik Date August 16, 2006 CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION/MAILING Thereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the USPTO or deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on the date shown below. Signature August 16, 2006 CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION/MAILING Thereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the USPTO or deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on the date shown below.	After Final				Propriet	ary Information				
Extension of Time Request Express Abandonment Request Information Disclosure Statement Condemnt(s) Response to Missing Parts Incomplete Application Response to Missing Parts Incomplete Application Response to Missing Parts Incomplete Application Response Trayling, LLP Signature Customer No. 34018 Greenberg Trayling, LLP Signature Customer No. 34018 Greenberg Trayling, LLP Condemnt(s) Certificate Of Transmitson/Mailing Response to Missing Parts Incomplete Application Response	Affidavits/declaration(s)		ower of Attorney, Revocation		Status Letter					
Information Disclosure Statement CD, Number of CD(s) Landscape Table on CD Remarks Response to Missing Parts/ Incomplete Application Response to Missing Parts under 37 CFR 1.52 or 1.53 SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, OR AGENT Firm Name Customer No. 34018 Greenberg Travirig, LLP Signature Printed name Gary R. Jarosk Date August 16, 2806 Reg. No. 35,906 CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION/MAILING Thereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the USPTO or deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on the date shown below. Signature	Extension of Time Request		•			nclosure(s) (please Identify				
Information Disclosure Statement CD, Number of CD(s) return postcard Certified Copy of Priority Document(s) Response to Missing Parts/ Incomplete Application Response to Missing Parts under 37 CFR 1.52 or 1.53 SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, OR AGENT Firm Name Customer No. 34018 Greenberg Traylrig, LLP Signature Printed name Gary R. Jarosik Date August 16, 2006 Reg. No. 35,906 CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION/MAILING Thereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the USPTO or deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on the date shown below. Signature	Express Abandonment F	Request R	equest for Refund		- Reply	/ Brief in TRIPLICATE				
Certified Copy of Priority Document(s) Response to Missing Parts/ Incomplete Application Response to Missing Parts under 37 CFR 1.52 or 1.53 SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, OR AGENT Firm Name Customer No. 34018 Greenberg Traylrig, LLP Signature Printed name Gary R. Jarosik Date August 16, 2006 Reg. No. 35,906 CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION/MAILING Thereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the USPTO or deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on the date shown below. Signature			D, Number of CD(s)		- return postcard					
Response to Missing Parts/ Incomplete Application Response to Missing Parts under 37 CFR 1.52 or 1.53 SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, OR AGENT Firm Name Customer No. 34018 Greenberg Traurig, LLP Signature Printed name Gary R. Jarosik CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION/MAILING Thereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the USPTO or deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on the date shown below. Signature	Certified Copy of Priority		Landscape Table on CD							
Incomplete Application Response to Missing Parts under 37 CFR 1.52 or 1.53 SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, OR AGENT Firm Name Customer No. 34018 Greenberg Traylrig, LLP Signature Printed name Gary R. Jarosik Date August 16, 2006 Reg. No. 35,906 CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION/MAILING Thereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the USPTO or deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on the date shown below. Signature	Document(s)		rks							
SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, OR AGENT Firm Name										
SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, OR AGENT Firm Name										
Greenberg Traurig, LLP Signature Printed name Gary R. Jarosik Date August 16, 2006 CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION/MAILING Thereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the USPTO or deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on the date shown below. Signature	under 37 CFR 1.52 or 1.53									
Greenberg Traurig, LLP Signature Printed name Gary R. Jarosik CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION/MAILING Thereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the USPTO or deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on the date shown below. Signature	SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT. ATTORNEY. OR AGENT									
Greenberg Traurig, LLP Signature Printed name Gary R. Jarosik Date August 16, 2006 CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION/MAILING Thereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the USPTO or deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on the date shown below. Signature	Firm Name Customer	No. 34018 \								
Printed name Gary R. Jarosk Date August 16, 2006 Reg. No. 35,906 CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION/MAILING Thereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the USPTO or deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on the date shown below. Signature										
CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION/MAILING Thereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the USPTO or deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on the date shown below. Signature	Signature	1								
CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION/MAILING Thereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the USPTO or deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on the date shown below. Signature	Printed name Gary R. Jaros k									
hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the USPTO or deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on the date shown below. Signature	Pate August 16, 2006 Reg. No. 35,906									
hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the USPTO or deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on the date shown below. Signature	CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION/MAILING									
EDMAN TI ANTONE	I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the USPTO or deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on the date shown below.									
	Signature Remnet Make									
	Typed or printed name Ranni Matar Date August 16, 2006									

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.5. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.11 and1.14. This collection is estimated to 2 hours to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.



Applicant:	Arling et al.)	Examiner:	Yenke, Brian P
Serial No.:	09/718,931)	Art Unit:	2614
Filed:	November 21, 2000)	Attorney Do	c.:81230.578001
Title:	Media Return System)		

REPLY BRIEF

Mail Stop Appeal Briefs - Patents Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

Appellant hereby submits this Reply to the Examiner's Answer dated June 19, 2006.

This Reply Brief is being filed in triplicate.

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any fee deficiency or credit overpayment to deposit account number 50-2428 in the name of Greenberg Traurig.

Certificate of Mailing: I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the U.S. Postal Service as First Class mail, postage prepaid, in an envelope addressed to: Mail Stop Appeal Briefs - Patents, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on this 16th day of August, 2006.

Ranni Matar

REMARKS

In accordance with 37 CFR §§ 41.41(a)(1) and 41.43(b), Appellant hereby submits this Reply Brief in response to the Examiner's Answer.

As set forth in the Appellant's Appeal Brief, it is respectfully submitted that Hesse fails to disclose the identical invention in as complete detail as is contained in the claims, i.e., each and every element considering each and every word, and, for at least this reason, the rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 102 must be withdrawn. *Richardson v. Suzuki Motor Co.*, 868 F.2d 1226, 1236 (Fed. Cir. 1989).

The invention claimed by Appellant is directed to a system and method for effecting a return to channel operation of a media playing device by, among other things, storing a primary channel indicator in a memory of a remote control in response to a first user action with the remote control and starting a timer of the remote control that times a predetermined time interval in response to a second user action with the remote control such that, upon expiration of the predetermined time interval timed by the timer, the remote control is caused to send a command corresponding to the primary channel indicator to the media playing device to return the media playing device to the primary channel.

In contrast to that which is expressly claimed, Hesse discloses a remote control having an "auto" mode which uses a real time clock that keeps actual time of the day, a scanner for scanning information stored in memory by a user and for comparing that information with the actual time of day as kept by the real-time clock, and an actuator for effecting a command signal from the remote control to an appliance <u>only</u> when the real time specified by the information entered into memory by the user matches the actual time of day on the real time clock. (Col. 2, lines 52-63). In the "auto" mode of Hesse, the remote control is programmed by inputting a

sequence of commands (e.g., the date and the real time an appliance should be turned on **and** the date and the real time the appliance should be turned off) which commands are carried out <u>in</u> <u>response to the real time clock achieving the scheduled real times</u> thereby eliminating any need to manually operate the remote control once it has been programmed. (Col. 3, lines 49-52 and Col. 9, lines 6-19). Thus, it is evident that the remote control of Hesse not only fails to have a timer that <u>times a predetermined time interval</u> but further fails to start any time measured operation <u>in response to</u> a user action with the remote control as is claimed.

Considering further the exact example provided by the Examiner in the rejection of the claims, a user may program the remote control of Hesse to record a first channel (e.g., channel 5) for some day in the future for a time of 30 minutes, program the remote control to record a second channel (e.g., channel 6) for the same day immediately preceding the recording of the first channel, and further program the remote control to again record the second channel (e.g., channel 6) on the same day after the time during which the first channel (e.g., channel 5) was recorded. However, as expressly described within Hesse, to perform this recording procedure the user must store in the memory of the remote control the day and the exact real times between which the second channel (e.g., channel 6) is to be first recorded (i.e., by entering both the starting and stopping real times), the day and the exact real times between which the first channel (e.g., channel 5) is to be next recorded (i.e., by entering both the starting and stopping real times), and the day and the exact real times between which the second channel (e.g., channel 6) is to be again recorded (i.e., by entering both the starting and stopping real times). The starting and stopping of the recording of the designated channels will then take place without any user action with the remote control and only when the real time clock of the Hesse remote control matches the exact real times of the recording instructions as entered by the user into the Hesse

remote control. From this procedure, while the entering by the user of both a starting and stopping real time may result in a program being automatically recorded over a 30 minute time interval at some time in the future, it will be evident that nothing within the remote control of Hesse actually functions to specifically time that 30 minutes (or any other predetermined time interval), let alone to start the timing of that 30 minutes in response to a user action, to thereby return the recording device to the second channel for recording. As expressly set forth within Hesse, the remote control of Hesse issues commands to start and stop the recording device only in response to the real time specified by the information entered into memory by the user matching the real time maintained by the real time clock. Thus, it is respectfully submitted that the remote control of Hesse never times, i.e., never keeps track of, a predetermined time interval and never starts timing in response to a user action. Instead, the remote control of Hesse only keeps track of user established, singular points in exact time at which some user designated action is to be automatically performed in the future, e.g., at 5:00 on some date the recording of the first channel is to be started and at 5:30 on that same date the recording of the first channel is to be stopped and the recording of the second channel is to be again started.

The distinction between using a timer which times a predetermined time interval and which is started in response to a user action as claimed as opposed to the use of a real time clock and user established, singular points in exact time as disclosed in Hesse is further illustrated when considering the exact application for which the claimed invention is advantageously used. In this regard, the use of a user started timer to measure a predetermined time interval allows the claimed remote control to be repeatedly and instantly used any time a commercial might appear on a media playing device to provide a return to channel scanning operation. Meanwhile, to use the system described within Hesse for this same purpose a user would have to anticipate and

enter into the memory of the Hesse remote control the exact, singular point in real time a commercial is to start as well as the exact, singular point in real time the commercial is to end with any resulting action performed in response to the real time clock achieving a user entered time being unrepeatable until such time as the remote control of Hesse is further programmed in this same manner.

From the foregoing it is evident that Hesse simply fails to disclose, teach, or suggest the identical invention claimed. For example and as acknowledged by the Examiner, Hesse simply fails to disclose, teach, or suggest a remote control in which a user action starts a timer of the remote control that times a predetermined time interval and which is caused to transmit a command signal to return a media playing device to a primary channel upon expiration of the predetermined time interval as timed by the user started timer. For this reason it is respectfully submitted that the rejection of claims 6, 8, and 15-18 under 35 U.S.C. § 102 must be withdrawn.

It is further respectfully submitted that the combination of Hesse and Kuno (JP 10145634) newly raised in the Examiner's Answer fails to render the invention set forth in the claims, particularly claims 7, 9, 11, and 19, obvious under 35 U.S.C. § 103. In particular, the Examiner has asserted that Kuno evidences that it would have been obvious to modify Hesse to include the activation of a button (manually) to activate a timer to cause the issuance of a command to return to an original program after a predetermined time and also allowing a user to return to the original program prior to the timed interval expiring.

In response, it is respectfully submitted that Kuno fails to disclose, teach, or suggest the desirability of storing *in a remote control* a current channel being viewed and starting *a timer <u>in</u>* a remote control so a user can scan channels with the remote control then transmitting a command to cause a device to return to the current channel upon the timer timing a

predetermined time period. Rather, Kuno discloses a system having the very disadvantages the claimed invention seeks to overcome. In this regard, Kuno discloses a system in which a dedicated remote control is required to issue a specific scanning command to a specific device (TV timer 2) and the specific device (TV timer 2) is programmed to recognize the specific scanning command and respond to the specific scanning command by storing a channel number and a scanning time to thereby allow the specific device (TV timer 2) to transmit a command to a television to return the television to the channel number upon expiration of the scanning time. It will thus be evident that Kuno fails to disclose, teach, or suggest the desirability of the claimed system which allows a return to channel feature to be implemented in a universal remote control usable in connection with any device, even those devices not equipped with a return to channel feature, but instead discloses, teaches, and suggests a system in which a dedicated remote control only works with a specific channel scanning device (TV timer 2) that is specifically equipped to recognize a specific command issued from that dedicated remote control to thereby provide a return to channel feature, i.e., without the TV timer 2 - which is the only device adapted to recognize the specific scanning command issued from the remote control - the remote control of Kuno cannot be used with any device to provide a return to channel feature. Accordingly, it is respectfully submitted that, were one of skill in the art to follow the express teachings of Kuno when Kuno is considered in its entirety as is required, one of skill in the art would not arrive at the invention claimed but would instead be directed to modify the remote control of Hesse to thereby cause the remote control of Hesse to transmit a specific channel scanning command to a specific, external device, such as a TV timer, which is the only device that is capable of recognizing and responding to the specific channel scanning command transmittable from the remote control. For these reasons it is respectfully submitted that the combination of Hesse and

Application No. 09/718,931

Kuno fails to establish a prima facie case of obviousness and the rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 103

must be withdrawn.

Conclusion

It is respectfully submitted that, when the claims are considered as a whole, the claims

are neither anticipated by nor rendered obvious by Hesse, alone or in combination with Kuno.

As such, it is respectfully submitted that the application is in good and proper form for

allowance. Such action of the part of the Board is respectfully requested.

Respectfully Submitted;

Date: August 16, 2006

By: _____

Gary R. Jarosik Reg. No. 35,906

Greenberg Traurig, LLP

77 W. Wacker Drive, Suite 2500

Chicago, Illinois 60601

(312) 456-8449

7